

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 06/09/00 LINDQUIST S (09/591,632 27373/34978A **EXAMINER** HM12/1002 MARSHALL O TOOLE GERSTEIN BRANNOCK.M MURRAY & BORUN ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 6300 SEARS TOWER 233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE 1646 CHICAGO IL 60606-6402 DATE MAILED: 10/02/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/591,632

Lindquist et al.

Examiner

Michael Brannock, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1646



The MAILING DATE OF this communication appears on t	the cov r she t with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a	i). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with	nin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will a	
communication.	
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	e of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is	s non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Constant of the condition	· ·
Disposition of Claims	
4) X Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 7, 19, 20, 22, 24-32, 38, 41, 42, 46, 48,	49, 55-58, 60, 61, 63, (is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera
5)	is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8) X Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 19, 20, 22, 24-32, 38, 41, 42, 46, 48, 49	9, 55-5 are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	ojected to by the Examiner.
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received in Application No
-,	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in this National Stage
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Bureau (PC)	T Rule 17.2(a)).
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer	T Rule 17.2(a)). ied copies not received.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Bureau (PCI *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certification for a list of the certification for domestic priority.	T Rule 17.2(a)). ied copies not received.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Bureau (PC) *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certifit 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority Attachment(s)	T Rule 17.2(a)). ied copies not received.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Bureau (PC) *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certifit 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18)	T Rule 17.2(a)). ied copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 09591632

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 19, 20, 22, 24-32, 46, 48, 61 and 97, drawn to polynucleotides and chimeric polyptides, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5.
 - II. Claims 38, 41 and 42, drawn to methods of modifying a cell such that polypeptide fibrils are produced in the cell, classified in class 435, subclass 252.3.
 - III. Claim 49, 75, 86, 90-93, drawn to methods of making a composition comprising polypeptide fibrils, classified in class 530, subclass 402.
 - IV. Claims 55-58, 60, 61, 63, 65, 66 and 67, drawn to methods of making a reactive SCAG amino acid sequence, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different methods, restriction is deemed to be proper because these methods appear to constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons: Groups II-IV are directed to methods that are distinct both physically and functionally, and are not required one for the other. Group II requires modifying a cell to

produce an intracellular fibril, which is not required by any of the other groups. Group III requires in vitro methods of constructing fibrils, which is not required by any of the other groups.

Application/Control Number: 09591632

Art Unit: 1646

Group IV requires methods of identifying amino acid residues of a polypeptide that are responsible for aggregation, which is not required by any of the other groups.

The polynucleotides and chimeric polypeptides of Group I are related to the methods of Groups II-IV as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polynucleotides and chimeric polypeptides of Group I are patentably distinct from each of the methods of Groups II-IV because the polynucleotides and chimeric polypeptides can be used in ways that are materially and functionally different than each of the methods because, as discussed above, each of the methods of Groups II-IV are materially and functionally distinct from the others.

3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 19, 20, 22, 24-32, 38, 41, 42, 46, 48, 49, 55-58, 60, 61, 63, 65-67, 75, 81, 86, 90-93 and 97 are generic to a practically limitless plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising a multitude of polynucleotides and encoded fusion polypeptides, each polynucleotide and encoded fusion protein being a structurally and functionally distinct set of molecules, the use of one particular polynucleotide and encoded fusion protein not being required

for the use of any other. Further, a search of one could not be relied upon to provide art that is anticipatory of any other, and to search more than one polynucleotide and encoded polypeptide in a single application would be burdensome.

Application/Control Number: 09591632

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of

polynucleotide and encoded fusion polypeptide, such species having a single defined

polynucleotide sequence encoding a defined polypetpide sequence, for prosecution on the merits,

even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37)

CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 4.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

MB

September 28, 2001

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600